

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/696,371	10/28/2003	Paramjit Kahlon	OIC0098US	6773
·	7590 09/16/200 TEPHENSON LLP	EXAMINER		
11401 CENTURY OAKS TERRACE BLDG. H, SUITE 250 AUSTIN, TX 78758			DANNEMAN, PAUL	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3627	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/16/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/696,371	KAHLON ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
PAUL DANNEMAN	3627	

	PAUL DANNEMAN	3627	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 02 September 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THI	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appel for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Continued Examination (RCE).	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavited (with appeal fee) in compliance viscos 1.114. The reply must be filed v	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
<ul> <li>a)</li></ul>	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth interest than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.13 tension and the corresponding amount of the chartened statutory period for reply original than three months after the mailing dates	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
<ul> <li>AMENDMENTS</li> <li>3.</li></ul>	nsideration and/or search (see NOTw); ter form for appeal by materially red	TE below); ducing or simplifying th	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).  4.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12  5.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):  6.  Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).  7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)      how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prove the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:	· owable if submitted in a separate, t ☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ will	imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	<del>-</del>		
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fails ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a ).
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	if of the status of the claims after er	itty is below of attach	5 <b>u</b> .
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu  The amended claims substantially change the scope of to  two systems, to an invention with multi-point data synchronic systems.	the invention from a simple bi-direct conization, which will require further	<u>tional data synchroniz</u>	<u>ation between</u>
<ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/F. Ryan Zeender/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3627			